Once upon a time, 

The Wizard of Oz, was a populist fable 

By Peter Dredel 

Many reviewers have critiqued The Wizard of Oz for its appropriation of one of America’s most treasured fantasies, The Wizard of Oz. Some disapproved of replacing Judy Garland’s youthful Kansas farm girl with Diana Ross, 36-year-old New York schoolmarm. Nevertheless, for example, it ment turned to story about a “grown-up black woman learning to put to rest childhood things and face life.” But while we prefer the 1939 Victor Fleming Western featuring “Over the Rainbow” for the 1978 Sidney Lumet Western with the song “No Place Else,” almost all Americans are familiar with the cast of characters as originally written in L. Frank Baum’s 1900 novel, The Wonderful Wizard of Oz, the Tin Woodman, the Scarecrow, the Witch, and the Wizard of Oz himself. 

What most American’s don’t know is the political allegory to be found in the narrative of The Wizard of Oz. The Populist period in late 19th century history. Baum was born near Syracuse, N.Y., in 1856 to a wealthy family and enjoyed some success writing plays. In 1887, he moved to his wife and two sons to Aberdeen, S.D., a small prairie town, where he edited the local weekly until it failed in 1891. That year he moved to Chicago, where he continued to write, and where he authored The Wonderful Wizard of Oz in 1900. 

Baum’s travels and experiences placed him inside the whirlpool of Populist agitation of the period. His brief stay in South Dakota spanned the period of the formation of the Populist Party, an attempt by Midwestern farmers to use the ballot to restrain the power of the banks, railroads, and other economic oligarchs, such as had been squeezing farmers through a combination of usury, underpriced goods, and other economic misdeeds. Though America was on the brink of a new century, it was a century of specialization, new technologies, high freight rates, and continued indebtedness. The Populists, a alliance of farmers and some urban workers (many affiliated with the Knights of Labor), advocated government ownership and operation of the railroads, telephone and telegraph industries, a graduated income tax, possum savings banks, secret ballot elections, direct election of senators, and other economic reforms. The Haymarket Riot in 1886, the Homestead Strike of 1892, and the Haymarket Square lynching of 1895. All had occurred in the decade Baum spent in South Dakota. 

Baum’s move to Chicago coincided with the 1893 depression and the rapid expansion of the urban working class. In 1894 American Railway Union president and soon-to-be socialist Eugene Debs led the Pullman strike in and around Chicago. The same year Jacob S. Coxey, a lumber dealer from Massillon, Ohio, and a Populist, led a mass march of unemployed workers to Washington to demand a federal public works program. 

Populists received 40 percent of the vote in the 1894 congressional elections and looked forward to winning the presidency—and the silver standard—in 1896. That election, between Republican McKinley and Populist - Democrat William Jennings Bryan, Congressman from Nebraska, revolted around the issue of gold vs. silver. During that campaign, Bryan made the speech that concluded: “You shall not crucify mankind upon a cross of gold.” The election proved a disaster for the Populists. McKinley received 271 electoral votes to Bryan’s 176, almost all in the Midwest. Bryan opposed McKinley again in 1900 (when Baum penned The Wonderful Wizard of Oz), but by then the Populists strength had been dissipated. 

Allegory. Baum viewed these events from up-close in both rural South Dakota and urban Illinois. He mourned the destruction of the fragile alliance between the Midwestern farmers (the Scarecrow) and the urban industrial workers (the Tin-man). Along with Bryan (the Cowardly Lion), and enjoyed some success writing century history. 

The same pattern held in the 1978 version. Also made during a period of economic hardship, it is ironic that all of people Richard Pryor should play “The Wiz.” Among today’s black film stars, Pryor has avoided the worst black exploitation films to play roles in social “message” films. He has portrayed an industrial worker (Blue Collar), a farmer (Which Way Is Up?), a Father-Divine-like religion film (Man with a Job), and a member of a black worker-owned baseball team trying to survive in the racist South (Rain on the Scarecrow). 

The Wizards of Hollywood have led American filmgoers down another Yellow Brick Road, casting in the fantasy and leading the political allegory behind. 
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